(SRI A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.)

And whereas the Hon'ble Speaker has recommended that in the circumstances of the case the matter may be dropped,

And in view of the explanatory statement made by the Hon'ble Member,

This House resolves that penalty prescribed by article 193 be not imposed on Sri K. R. Sreekanteswar for sitting as a Member of the House on 8th October 1951 before complying with the requirements of article 188."

The motion was adopted.

Sri K. R. SREEKANTESWAR (Bangalore City—General).—I must respectfully thank you Sir and through you, Hon'ble Members of the House and the Hon'ble the Leader of the House.

The Payment of Wages (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1951.

Motion to consider.

The Hon'ble Sri K. T. BHASHYAM (Minister for Law and Labour).—Sir, I move:

"That the Payment of Wages (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1951, be taken into consideration."

The point that arises in this Bill is that till now we had the Payment of Wages Act of Mysore in operation. We had certain clauses for the benefit of the employees in that Act which are not to be found in the Indian Payment of Wages Act which has now been extended to Mysore. By reason of the extension of the Indian Act, the Mysore Act ceases to be in operation and therefore the employees lose the benefit of the several clauses which were in our Act and which are not to be found in the Indian Act. Since labour legislation is a concurrent subject we are free to amend the Indian Payment of Wages Act as it applies to us in such manner as may be suitable provided we get the permission of the Central Government. Now we have taken their permission and we are tabling this amendment.

The main points which accrue to the benefit of the employees by reason of this amendment are that where a person has taken his provident fund and has gone out of employment and is again re-employed, he loses the benefit of the provident fund, if we do not pass this amendment. If we pass this amendment, we can take back the provident fund given to him and treat it as having been started from the very beginning and he gets an extra advantage.

So also are certain other small deductions which are permissible, deductions specially authorised for the purpose of the benefit of the employed person. For instance, when an employee says that he is a member of a labour association and he wants that the subscription to the labour association may be paid from out of the wages—it is an advantage to the employee to see that it is done by the management itself—such deductions may be permissible. These benefits we want to provide for the employees.

Another thing is this. Where increments are withheld, till now no notice was necessary to be served on the employee. We say that a notice should be given to an employee by the employer before an increment can be withheld and the employee must be heard. So also where an employee is called upon to do some job for which the same wages are not payable, we say that the same wages that he was drawing must be paid irrespective of the fact whether the job which he is called upon now to do is or is not fetching the same wages for anybody else. Supposing an employee is now drawing about Rs. 60 a month. Now he is called upon to do some other job for which only Rs. 40 is being paid to somebody else. It is not open to the employer to say: "You are now called upon to do a duty which fetches Rs. 50". The employer has to give Rs. 60 because it will be treated as a deduction and such deductions are not permissible.

So these are amendments which accrue to the benefit of the employee and we want the employee to have those benefits. Therefore these amendments are proposed. I submit these are not controversial and the Hon'ble Members will give their assent to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Payment of Wages (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1951, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clauses 2 and 3. The question is :

"That Clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1. The question is :

"That Clause 1 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Title and Preamble. The question is :

"That the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

The Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

The Hon'ble Sri K. T. BHASHYAM.—Sir, I move :

"That the Payment of Wages (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1951, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Payment of Wages (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1951, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

Report of the Committee of Privileges *re*: Article in 'Prabhata' dated 28th March 1951.

Motion to consider.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Mysore District—General).—Sir, I move :

"That the Report of the Committee of Privileges in regard to the article in the newspaper *Prabhata*, dated 28th March 1951, be taken into consideration."

ಕಳೆದ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ 5ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿನ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರವರು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಪ್ರಭಾತ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 28ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಒಂದು ರೇಖನ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಧಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚನೆಗಾಗಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದುದು ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಜ್ಞಾಪಕವಿರಬಹುದು. ಆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ "ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ತಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕಂತೆ ಮೇಳ ಕಟ್ಟುವ ಶಾಸನಸಭಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು" ಎಂಬ ಶಿರೋನಾಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಟೀಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು. ಆ ಲೇಖನವನ್ನು ಹಕ್ಕು ಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಮಿತಿಯು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಧಕ್ಕೆಯನ್ನುಂಟುಮಾಡಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟರು. ಅದರಿಂದ ಆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕರ ಸಮಜಾಯಿಷಿ ಕೇಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಮಾಡಿದ್ದರ ಮೇರೆ ಆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕರು ಒಂದು ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ತಾವು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮರ್ಯಾದೆಯನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವಾಗಲೂ ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದೂ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಈ ಲೇಖನದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ನೋವನ್ನುಂಟುಮಾಡುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಯಾವ ಪದಗಳನ್ನೂ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ, ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಷಾದವನ್ನು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಶಾಸನಸಭೆಗೆ ಅವಮಾನ ಪುಂಟಾಗುವಂಥ ಪ್ರಸಂಗ ಉಂಟುಮಾಡುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದ ಈ ಸಮಜಾಯಿಷಿಯನ್ನು ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳ ಸಮಿತಿಯವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನೂ ಜರುಗಿಸಬಾರದೆಂದು ಶಿಫಾರ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಶಿಫಾರ್ಸು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Report of the Committee of Privileges in regard to the article in the newspaper *Prabhata*, dated 28th March 1951, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Motion to adopt.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, I move :

"That the recommendations of the Committee be adopted."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the recommendations of the Committee be adopted.

The motion was adopted.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು (ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪನವರು).—ಅಪ್ರೋಪ್ರಿಯೆ (ಪರ್ಸ್ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಮಹಾರಾಜರ ಶಿಫಾರ್ಸ್ ದೊರೆತಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ದಿವಸ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳು ಇನ್ನ್ಯಾವುದೂ ಉಳಿದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾನಾಯಕರು ಇನಾಂ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಭೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಾದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

(ಕೆಲವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದರು.)

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠೇಶ್ವರ್‌ರವರು (ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ).—ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಆ ಮಸೂದೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾಗದಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ನಾವು ಈಗ ತಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಈ ದಿವಸ ಇಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಾಳೆ ಅಥವಾ ನಾಡದ್ದು ಅದು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಬರಬಹುದೆಂದಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಈಗಲೇ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದರ ಬದಲು, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಚಿವರು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಿಸಿ ಅದರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬಹುದು.

The Mysore Inam Villages (Abolition of Inam Tenure) Bill, 1951.

Motion to consider.

*The Hon'ble Sri H. SIDDAIYA (Minister for Revenue and Excise).—Sir, I move :

"That the Mysore Inam Villages (Abolition of Inam Tenure) Bill, 1951, as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration."

Sir, when the Bill before reference to the Select Committee was taken into consideration, I explained the main objections of the Bill at that time. The objects of this Bill are two-fold. In the first place the object of abolition of the inam tenure in Inam Villages in the State of Mysore is that the long-standing tenants of these Inamdars can be registered as occupants. Secondly, in all fairness, fair and reasonable compensation is proposed to be given to all the inamdars who are entitled to it because they have lost the property and are put to considerable expenditure. The compensation has been calculated to come to about Rs. 1,75 lakhs. After the Bill was taken into consideration and explanation was given, the Hon'ble Members of this House discussed this at length and after discussion, the Bill was referred to the Select Committee consisting of a good number of members of this House to be considered by them in detail. The Select Committee members have met not once or twice, but as many as eight times. They have considered the Bill clause by clause in all seriousness, sympathy, forethought and sagacity. They have discharged their duty very satisfactorily. I wish to make that statement on the floor of the House. My thanks are due to them. And in this connection I must mention and acknowledge the services rendered to the Committee by the Secretary of the Legislature. When the Bill was taken to the Select Committee for consideration the Inamdars' Association of Mysore sent up a memorandum and copies of resolution passed by their Association. They were also considered by the Committee. Not satisfied with these, the Association further made representation that their representatives may be heard by the Select Committee. Ordinarily such a course is not adopted. But, with all that, the members of the Select Committee were kind enough, were considerate, and permitted the representatives, five in all, to appear before them and make their representations on behalf of the Inamdars. Similarly, on behalf of the Sringeri Mutt two representatives, Sri A. R. Nageswara Iyer and Sri A.V. Ramanathan also appeared before the Committee and urged the exclusion of the Sringeri Jahgir from the purview of this Bill. All the pros and cons, the merits and demerits and all other aspects of the problem were considered. After considering all these, they have prepared this report and I am placing such a report now for the consideration of this House.

Certain changes are of course effected as a result of the discussion in the Select Committee. It seems to me that from several representations made throughout Mysore and several parts of India, one aspect relating to this report is somewhat controversial. That is regarding the abolition of Sringeri Jahgir. Nothing harmful or injurious is done so far as the Sringeri Mutt is concerned. Of course their representatives, Sri Nageswara Iyer and Sri Ramanathan who appeared before the Committee took their whole time and tried their best to convince the members that it would be very desirable to exclude the Sringeri Jahgir from the purview of this Bill. Their arguments mostly, as they advanced, were based on sentiment. Sentiment has its own value. But I submit that it should be within certain limits. The members of the Committee at any rate felt that sentiment and reason must both be considered and